200. Synthesis of Oligodeoxynucleotides by the Phosphite-Triester Method Using Dimer Units and Different Phosphorous-Protecting Groups

by Willi Bannwarth

F. Hoffmann-La Roche & Co. Ltd., Central Research Units, CH-4002 Basel

(22.VII.85)

A simple synthesis of phosphoamidite dinucleotides with two different phosphorous-protecting groups and their use for the synthesis of 2'-oligodeoxynucleotides on a polymer support is reported.

Introduction. – For the economical synthesis of oligodeoxynucleotides, the method of choice is the solid-phase chemistry using either the triester or the phosphite-triester approach [1]. The advantage of the triester method is the possibility of using dimers, trimers, or even higher units as building blocks in the preparation of oligonucleotides, thus decreasing the coupling steps for a given sequence and thereby enhancing the speed and effectiveness of synthesis and simplifying the purification of the final product. The phosphite-triester method has so far been limited to monomer additions, but extension to the coupling of larger units would be desirable.

Lately, *Kumar* and *Poonian* [2] described two ways for the synthesis of dimer units which were used with high efficiency in the preparation of several oligodeoxynucleotides by the phosphite-triester strategy. The route for the synthesis of these methyl (N,N-dialkyl) phosphoamidite dinucleotides **3** (*Scheme 1*) is relatively complicated. It involves the preparation of the 3'-protected compounds **1**. After condensation and oxidation to compound **2**, the protecting group \mathbb{R}^1 has to be cleaved off before a phosphinylation leads to the desired compound of type **3**.

We would like to report a simpler method for the preparation of dimer units and their successful use in the synthesis of oligodeoxynucleotides by the phosphite-triester strategy on a polymer support. These dimer units are compounds of the general structure 6 (Scheme 2). The P-atoms in these molecules are present in two oxidation levels, *i.e.* P(III) and P(V) and each carries a different protecting group. The phosphate part of the molecule carries the *o*-chlorophenyl group mainly used in the triester strategy, whereas the phosphoamidite part carries the methyl group mainly used in the phosphite-triester method.

Compounds of type 4 are easily prepared in high yield by standard procedures from the protected nucleosides [3] (*Scheme 2*) or purchased from a supplier. For being used as dimers in the triester strategy, they are transformed to the triesters 5 according to [4] which, after removal of the cyanoethyl group [5] can be used for chain elongations on polymer support (I).

On the other hand, we have shown that 4 can be transformed by phosphinylation into dimers 6 (II) usable for phosphite-triester chemistry by activation with an acid-like



tetrazole. In this strategy, there is no need for a 3'-protecting group as in the previously reported synthesis [2].

Synthesis of Oligodeoxynucleotides. -1. Support. As support we used controlled pore glass (CPG) attached to a long-chain alkylamine as manufactured by *Pierce*. The corresponding 3'-succinylated nucleoside was linked to the alkylamine chain of the support directly by coupling with dicyclohexylcarbodimide (DCC; *Scheme 3*) [6]. Unreacted amino functions were capped by acylation with Ac₂O/pyridine. After 24 h, the loading was in a range of 10–30 μ mol/g.



2. Synthesis of Oligonucleotides on Controlled Pore Glass. In order to test the suitability of the prepared dimer components **6**, we have synthesized the following sequences **7**, sometimes in combination with monomers (e.g. **7c** and **7e**): ⁵TC TC TC TC T₃ (**7a**), ⁵GA GA GA GA G₃ (**7b**), ⁵CT A GG TA C₃ (**7c**), ⁵TT TT TA AA AA A₃ (**7d**), and ⁵AG CT GG TA C C₃ (**7e**).

The syntheses were carried out in the simple glass-frit system originally developed by *Caruthers* [1]. We started with *ca*. 2.5 μ mol of functionalized support and used a 20fold excess of dimer or monomer units. For the coupling step, the elongation units were dissolved in 0.75 ml of a standard solution of tetrazole in anh. MeCN containing 1 g of tetrazole/30 ml of MeCN. The complete cycle for the addition of one building-block unit is outlined in *Table 1*.

Step	Reagent or solvent	Amount	Time	
1	ZnBr ₂	3×2 ml	$3 \times 2 \min$	
2	MeOH	2 ml	30 sec	
3	CH_2Cl_2/i -PrOH 85:15 (v/v)	2 ml	wash	
4	MeCN	$6 \times 2 \text{ ml}$	wash	
5	Condensation mixture	0.8 ml	5 min	
6	Capping $(Ac_2O/(Me_2N)Py)$	3 ml	2 min	
7	THF	2 ml	wash	
8	THF/lutidine/H ₂ O 2:1:2 (v/v)	2 ml	2 min	
9	I ₂ in THF/lutidine/H ₂ O	2 ml	2.5 min	
10	THF	2 ml	wash	
11	MeOH	2 ml	wash	
12	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /i-PrOH (85:15)	2 ml	wash	

Table 1. Cycle for the Addition of One Building Block

The cleavage of the DMT group was performed with $ZnBr_2$ in CH_2Cl_2/i -PrOH [7] 85:15, thus avoiding any possible depurination or cleavage of the protecting group of the exocyclic amino function of A. This step was followed by a MeOH wash to destroy any possible Zn complexes.

The capping step was performed with a freshly prepared mixture of a 6.5% solution (w/v) of 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine ((Me₂N)Py) in THF (2 parts) and an Ac₂O/lutidine solution 1:1 (v/v) (1 part). This step can be omitted if the coupling yield is reasonably high and the sequence is not too long. *Step 8* was introduced in order to avoid branching, but was omitted in later syntheses because this step seems to be of no importance since no n + 1 product could be seen during syntheses.

The oxidation step was performed with a 0.2M solution of I₂ in THF/lutidine/H₂O 2:1:2 after each coupling, since a single oxidation step after completion of the desired sequence leads to the formation of much more side products.

3. Cleavage of the Protecting Groups. The protecting groups at the P-atoms have to be cleaved off first in order to prevent isomerisation during the basic conditions used for the cleavage of the base-protecting groups. The Me group is cleaved off first by the nucleo-philic attack of thiophenol [8]. Treatment with (Z)-o-nitrobenzaldoximate cleaves off the *o*-chlorophenyl group [9] and releases the oligonucleotide from the support. The base-protecting groups are then removed by the action of NH₃ and finally the DMT group by 80% AcOH. The whole procedure is summarized in *Table 2*. After deprotection, the crude mixtures were purified on a *DEAE-Sephadex* column using a gradient of Et₃NH^{\oplus} HCO^{\oplus}.

Table	2.	Cleavage	of	the	Protecting	Groups
-------	----	----------	----	-----	------------	--------

Step	Reagent	Step	Reagent
1	thiophenol/Et ₃ N/dioxane 1:2:2 (v/v)	3	conc. NH ₃ (min. 25%)
2	(Z)-o-nitrobenzaldoximate/tetramethylguanidine	4	AcOH (80%)

Experimental Part

Solvents and Reagents. Pyridine: refluxed and distilled from TsCl, refluxed over CaH₂: distilled and kept over molecular sieve (4 Å) in tightly closed bottles. CHCl₃: *p.a.*, filtered through basic alumina (act. I; 10 g/14 ml). MeCN: refluxed and distilled from P₂O₅, refluxed over CaH₂, and distilled just prior to use. Et(i-Pr)₂N: distilled over a *Vigreux* column (15 cm) and stored over molecular sieve. DCC, (Me₂N)Py; Ac₂O, (*t*-Bu)NH₂, and triazole, all *puriss*. (*Fluka*), were used without further purification. Tetrazole (*Fluka*) was sublimed. *o*-Chloro-phenylphosphorodichloridate and protected nucleotides were prepared by standard procedures [1]. Chloro-(methoxy)morpholinophosphine was prepared starting from methoxy(dichloro)phosphine (*Aldrich*) [1]. The dimer components of general structure **4** which were used for the preparation of the building blocks were synthesized by the standard procedure and purified by short-column chromatography [1].

Functionalization of the Support. The 3'-succinylated nucleosides needed for the functionalization were prepared according to [1]. The Pierce glass support material did not need any further treatment. It could be used directly for the functionalization with the corresponding 3'-succinylated nucleoside [6]. A suspension of 3 g of support in 50 ml of abs. pyridine and 0.9 ml of Et₃N was evaporated. After addition of 2.5 mmol of 3'-succinylated nucleoside, the mixture was again taken up in 50 ml of pyridine and evaporated once more. Finally, it was taken up in 50 ml of pyridine and 22 mmol (4.5 g) of DCC, and 0.5 mmol (61.2 mg) of (Me₂N)Py were added. The suspension was reacted with occasional shaking (*Vortex*). After 24 h, the mixture was filtered through a glass-sinter funnel and washed with pyridine and Et₂O and dried *in vacuo*. Then, it was suspended in 30 ml of pyridine and 3 ml of Ac₂O and reacted for 2 h. It was filtered again through a glass-sinter funnel, and the functionalized support was washed with pyridine and Et₂O and finally dried *in vacuo*. The functionalization ranged from *ca*. 10 to 32 μ mol of nucleoside/g support. Dimer Phosphoamidites 6. A mixture of 1 mmol 4 in 6 ml of dry $CHCl_3$ was kept under Ar. With ice cooling and stirring, 2.0 ml of $Et(i-Pr)_2N$ were added followed by 6 mmol of chloro(methoxy)morpholinophosphine (2 min). After complete addition, stirring was continued for another 30 min. The mixture was taken up in 70 ml of AcOEt

B ¹	B ²	$\delta_{\mathrm{P}} [\mathrm{ppm}]^{i}$	$\delta_{\rm P} [{\rm ppm}]^{\rm a})$						
		P(III)				P(V)	** <u>-</u>		
A ^{bz}	<u>т</u>	146.3	145.3	145.2		-7.0	-7.2		
A ^{bz}	A ^{bz}	146.17	146.09	145.64	145.5	-7.0	-7.4		
A ^{bz}	\mathbf{G}^{ib}	146.0	145.6	145.4		-7.5	-7.7		
A ^{bz}	Can	146.3	146.2	145.2	145.1	-6.6	-6.9		
Т	A ^{bz}	146.2	146.1	145.6	145.5	-6.9	-7.3		
Т	Т	146.29	145.30	145.21		-7.0	-7.1		
Т	\mathbf{G}^{ib}	146.1	146.0	145.7	145.3	-7.4	-7.5	-7.7	-7.8
Т	C^{an}	146.3	146.2	145.1	145.0	-6.8	6.9		
C ^{an}	Abz	146.2	146.1	145.5	145.4	-7.1	7.2		
C ^{an}	C^{an}	146.3	145.2	145.0		-6.7	-7.0		
Can	Gib	146.3	146.0	145.7	145.5	-7.5	-7.6		
Can	Т	146.4	145.3	145.2		-6.8	-6.8	-7.1	
G ^{ib}	Abz	145.9	145.8	145.7	145.6	-6.7	-6.9	-7.1	-7.3
G ^{ib}	Ť	146.3	146.2	145.6	1.0.0	-6.3	-6.4	-7.0	-7.2
G ^{ib}	Gib	146.0	145.7			-7.9	-8.0		
G ^{ib}	C ^{an}	146.8	146.3	146.1	145.6	-6.9	-7.3	-7.4	
a) Rela	tive to the stand	ard H ₁ PO ₄ .							
1.LF	1.PP 1.410 IM: CURSOF 1 2047 2 2091 3 21091 3 2109 4 2153 5 15288 6 15909 7 15914 8 15944 9 15951	= 1.000 = FR 23769. 23691. 23658. 23579. -1114. -1123. -1177. -1190.	E0 410 141 403 188 551 785 053 666 956	PPM 146.7454 146.2621 145.5710 0034 -6.8824 -6.9334 -7.2706 -7.3526	INTEGPAL .063 .024 .057 .024 19.591 .103 .065 .041 .031	INTENS1: 4.2 1.4 4.2 509.9 8.5 4.0 2.2 1.8	TY 50 74 96 18 01 01 08 51 78		520 _{Herr}
6/1 6 SI FM= AD= LB= IM= 2/P1 SS= (FN= F P(32 0 .5571 524 .3000 27444.278 (= 1.795 30.000000 2179737.101	NU=31P D1= 2 RD= 2 RD= C8= PC= F2= −19 CX= AI= LG:DFF	SF= 00000.0 0.000 17 0.000 2.000 967.486 56.500 0 RD≈	161.977261 0 SW= 2 0 PW= 0 IS= 0 D2= H2/CM CY= DR: 0 22:0N	6 SY= 59 9411.7647 15.0 6 6200.00 = 520.56 0.000 URRENT= 12 FR=H 2	.540000 FW= DS= NC= MI= 2 PPM/ SR= 2 RG=	0 36800 1 8 1.4 300 CM= 3. 7261.6 100	096 2138 04	P(V)

Table 3. ³¹P-NMR Data of the Synthesized Dimer Units

Fig. 1. ³¹*P-NMR spectrum of the completely protected dimer unit* d(GC). The signals of the P(III) moiety as well as for the P(V) moiety show the appearance of diastereoisomeric forms of the molecule due to the asymmetric properties of the P-centers.

50

100

150

0 PPM

and extracted $6 \times$ with half-sat. and $2 \times$ with sat. NaCl soln. The org. layer was dried with Na₂SO₄ and evaporated. The residue was purified by short-column chromatography over 20 g of silica *H* with CH₂Cl₂/AcOEt/Et₃N (45:45:10 (v/v)). The fractions containing the pure product (TLC) were evaporated, taken up in toluol/AcOEt, and the product was precipitated with pentane, filtered, and dried. The yield ranged from 50 to 60%. The compounds were characterized by ¹H-NMR and ³¹P-NMR (*Table 3*). See also Fig. 1.

Syntheses of the Oligodeoxynucleotides. The syntheses were performed as mentioned above using the simple frit-system developed by *Caruthers* [1]. All solvents and reagents were added by *Pasteur* pipettes and removed by suction. For the coupling steps, the sintered-glass funnel was closed by a rubher septum and kept under Ar, and the coupling soln. containing the corresponding monomer or dimer unit and tetrazole as activating agent in abs. MeCN was added with a syringe.

Deprotection and Purification. After completion of the synthesis, the support was washed with THF and Et₂O, dried, and treated with a mixture of thiophenol/dioxane/Et₃N 1:2:2 (v/v) for 2 h. Then, the mixture was filtered by suction into a soln. of I₂ in EtOH or 2.5% aq. NaOCl (*Javel* water). The support was washed with dioxane, MeOH, THF, and Et₂O and dried. Then 87.5 mg of (Z)-o-nitrobenzaldoximate and 67.7 µl of tetramethylguanidine in 1.5



Fig.2. Purification of d(GAGAGAGAG) on DEAE-Sephadex. The main peak containing the product was evaporated, and part of the material was purified further by reversed-phase HPLC or PAGE.



Fig. 3. Autoradiograph of the purified oligomers after labelling with ³²P by T4-polynucleotide kinase. The sequences of the oligomers were confirmed by the wandering-spot method of Tu and Wu [10].
1: d(CTAGGTAC); 2: d(AGCTGGTACC); 3: d(GAGAGAGAG); 4: d(TTTTTAAAAA); 5: d(TCTCTCTC).



Fig. 4. Sequence of the oligonucleotide d(GAGAGAGAG)

ml of dioxan/H₂O 1:1 were added and reacted for 20 h. After filtration, the support was washed with 8 ml of pyridine/H₂O, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was taken up in 5 ml of NH₃ (conc.: min. 25%) and kept over the week-end in a tightly closed small flask. After evaporation, the residue was taken up in 4 ml of AcOH (80%) and reacted for 1 h, evaporated, taken up in H₂O and extracted several times with Et₂O. The H₂O layer was evaporated, the residue taken up in a little 0.1M Et₃NH^{\oplus} HCO³₉, applied to a *DEAE-Sephadex* column and eluted with a linear gradient of Et₃NH^{\oplus} HCO³₉ (500 ml of 0.1M Et₃NH^{\oplus} HCO³₉/500 ml of 1.4M Et₃NHCO₃; see *Fig. 2*). The main peak containing the product was evaporated several times after addition of a little EtOH and part of it was then further purified by polyacrylamide-gel electrophoresis (PAGE) or reversed-phase HPLC. For the autoradiograph and an example of sequence analysis, see *Fig. 3* and *4*.

I would like to thank *B. Heierli, E. Küng,* and *M. Ott* for technical assistance, Dr. *D. Gillessen* for helping in the preparation, and Ms. *M. Pfendler* for typing the manuscript. Furthermore, I would like to thank our department of spectroscopy for the ³¹P-NMR spectra.

REFERENCES

- Chemical and Enzymatic Synthesis of Gene Fragments', Eds. H.G. Gassen and A. Lang, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, 1982.
- [2] G. Kumar, M.S. Poonian, J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4905.
- [3] S.S. Jones, B. Rayner, C. B. Reese, A. Ubasawa, M. Ubasawa, Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 3975.
- [4] S. de Bernardini, F. Waldmeier, Ch. Tamm, Helv. Chim. Acta 1981, 64, 2142.
- [5] H.M. Hsiung, Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 23, 5119.
- [6] F. Chow, T. Kempe, G. Palm, Nucleic Acids Res. 1981, 9, 2807.
- [7] R. Kierzek, H. Ito, R. Bhatt, K. Itakura, Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 22, 3761.
- [8] E. E. Van Tamelen, S. V. Daub, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 3526.
- [9] C. B. Reese, R. C. Titmas, L. Yau, Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 19, 2727.
- [10] C.-P. D. Tu, R. Wu, Meth. Enzymol. 1980, 65, 621.